

**Mr. Taylor and His Series**  
**(Atmo 336, Fall 2007)**

**Getting Started:** In class we showed that the density of dry air with pressure  $p$  and temperature  $T$  is given by the ideal gas law

$$\rho_d = \frac{p}{R_d T} \quad (\text{dry air})$$

while the density of moist air at the same pressure and temperature is given by

$$\rho = \frac{p}{R_d T} \frac{\epsilon/r + \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon/r} = \frac{p}{R_d T} \epsilon \frac{1+r}{r+\epsilon}. \quad (\text{moist air})$$

Here  $r$  is the mixing ratio of water vapor and  $\epsilon \approx 0.62$  is the ratio of the gas constants  $R_d/R_v$ . To get some basic insight into the effect of water vapor on  $\rho$ , let's take a look at the ratio

$$\sigma = \frac{\rho}{\rho_d} = \epsilon \frac{1+r}{r+\epsilon}$$

which we refer to as the *moisture correction* for density (i.e., to get the real density  $\rho$  we multiply the density for dry air  $\rho_d$  by the moisture correction  $\sigma$ ).

First let's plot the moisture correction in MATLAB. Note that a mixing ratio of  $r = 0.33$  implies that a full quarter of the mass of the air is water vapor. (That is, the mass of water vapor is one-third as large as the mass of dry air, suggesting a 25% / 75% split.) This is much larger than any normally realized value in the atmosphere, so we'll start with  $r = 0.33$  as the right edge of our domain in  $r$ . We can then plot  $\sigma$  in MATLAB by issuing the following set of commands:

```
> r = 0.:0.01:0.33;
> eps = 0.62;
> sig = eps.*(1+r)./(r+eps);
> plot(r,sig,'r-','linewidth',2);
> xlabel('mixing ratio','fontsize',16);
> ylabel('moisture correction','fontsize',16);
> axis([0 0.33 0.8 1]);
> set(gca,'ytick',0.8:0.025:1);
> set(gca,'xtick',0:0.05:0.35);
```

The first command defines a vector  $r$  containing real values evenly spaced (with interval 0.01) between 0 and 0.33. The next three lines then define the function  $\text{sig}$  and plot it using a red line with a thickness of 2. The remaining lines then add axis labels and set axis properties. Save your plot as a jpg file named *sigma.r.jpg* and copy to your personal figure directory to turn in. Then ponder the following questions (no need to write down answers—just ponder):

- Does adding water vapor tend to increase or decrease the density relative to that of dry air?
- Your plot should show that the moisture correction at  $r = 0$  is 1. Does this make sense?
- Where is the change in density with  $r$  most rapid: near  $r = 0$  or  $r = 0.33$ ?
- How large does  $r$  need to be to produce a change in the density of 10% (i.e., a moisture correction of 0.90)?

**Introducing Mr. Taylor:** Brook Taylor was an English mathematician who expanded on the work of Isaac Newton. His famous formula for approximating an arbitrary function by a polynomial (i.e., a *Taylor series*) first appeared in the *Journal of Early Calculus Results* in 1715. In 1715 MATLAB was still two and a half centuries away and mathematicians and physicists thus had a very strong incentive to simplify problems as much as possible in order to gain insight. Let's follow in the footsteps of Mr. Taylor by approximating the moisture correction  $\sigma(r)$  by a Taylor series for small  $r$ .

(a) To get started, let's first rewrite  $\sigma$  in the form

$$\sigma(r) = (1 + r) g(r) \quad \text{where} \quad g(r) = \epsilon(\epsilon + r)^{-1}$$

(verify that this is the same as the formula given above). Next we approximate  $g(r)$  for small values of  $r$  by a polynomial

$$g(r) \approx a_0 + a_1 r + a_2 r^2 + \dots$$

How are the coefficients  $a_k$  related to the value and derivatives of  $g(r)$  at  $r = 0$ ? Show that the end result is

$$g(r) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon} r + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} r^2 + \dots$$

(b) Next we'll multiply the result above by  $(1 + r)$  to get  $\sigma$ ; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(r) &= (1 + r) g(r) \\ &\approx (1 + r) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon} r + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} r^2 + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

Show that grouping terms of like power then gives a polynomial approximation

$$\sigma(r) \approx b_0 + b_1 r + b_2 r^2 + \dots \quad (1)$$

What are the first three coefficients  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ , and  $b_2$ ?

(c) Now to plot the fruits of our labor. First let's repeat our prior steps to plot the total moisture correction  $\sigma$

```

> clear;
> r = 0.:0.01:0.33;
> eps = 0.62;
> sig = eps.*(1+r)./(r+eps);
> plot(r,sig,'r-','linewidth',2);
> xlabel('mixing ratio','fontsize',16);
> ylabel('moisture correction','fontsize',16);
> axis([0 0.33 0.8 1]);
> set(gca,'ytick',0.8:0.025:1);
> set(gca,'xtick',0:0.05:0.35);
> hold on;

```

where the command *hold on* ensures that subsequent plots will be overlaid on the current plot rather than replacing them (you can reverse this with *hold off*). Next let's define our linear polynomial approximation  $\sigma(r) \approx b_0 + b_1 r$

```

> b0 =
> b1 =
> taylor_1 = b0 + b1.*r;
> plot(r,taylor_1,'b-','linewidth',2);

```

(where you should fill in the correct expressions for  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ , of course) which plots the first two terms of the Taylor series approximation as a blue dot-dash line. How good is this linear approximation? At what value of  $r$  is the difference between the linear and true curves 0.025 (roughly 2.5% error)? Save your figure to the jpg file *taylor\_1.jpg* and copy to the figure directory to turn in.

(d) Next let's pull in the quadratic term so that  $\sigma \approx b_0 + b_1 r + b_2 r^2$

```

> b2 =
> taylor_2 = b0 + b1.*r + b2.*r.^2;
> plot(r,taylor_2,'g-','linewidth',3);

```

Again save your plot as a jpg file (this time called *taylor\_2.jpg*) and copy to the figure directory. Does including the quadratic term improve things? At what value of  $r$  does the error reach 2.5% now?

(e) Typical values of the mixing ratio in the atmosphere are of the order  $10^{-3}$  with a very large value being 0.02. So now let's zoom in on the mixing-ratio range  $0 \leq r \leq 0.025$ . First clear the figure by typing

```

> clf;
> hold off;

```

Now repeat the steps in (c) and (d) using a re-defined  $r$  vector given by  $0:0.00025:0.025$  and leaving out the *axis* and *set* commands so that the axis limits and tick marks are set automatically. How good are the linear and quadratic Taylor series approximations over this range in  $r$ ? Save your final plot (with all three of the curves plotted) to the file *taylor\_zoom.jpg* and copy to the figure directory.